

3/✓

Scark -

Shanks -

Two observations:

1 - I think the MO
notice has already
been published. [REDACTED]

2 - maybe the answer ^{per} above
is for field employees
to take deduction without
mentioning it, and then
Rec'd in Tax Return
could be raised if
IRS questions it.

25X1A9a

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

J.D.

~~Chas.~~ There has been no experience yet in field contributions to the Educational Fund. Our experience to date with field contribution to PSAS is that perhaps 95% of all contributions have been in very small amount, i.e., \$1-\$5 and these have been in cash.

Contributions to the Educational Fund will, likewise, be almost wholly in the same amounts. This would appear to present little or no problem to field employees providing they would be willing to forego taking the tax deduction. They would have a problem if they insisted on taking the deduction because they would, under the arrangement in the Notice, have no proof of their contribution, e.g., the PSAS and the EAF is not shown on their checks. Neither would proof be in the receipt they would get since it would show "from pseudo" and would be signed in pseudo.

A receipt in true name for contributions, say for \$25 or more, would serve about 1% of all contribution cases.

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] had previously talked [REDACTED]
about this and, unless DDP is agreeable to the true
name receipt procedure, there just isn't any answer

but that individual contributors frags take the tax deduction - or - take the deduction and hope they will not have to produce proof of contributions.

25X1A9a

I talked to [REDACTED] - he did not comment on the HN. If he had seen it, he says, he would have contested the para 4 bit - re checks payable to pounds., because it only greatly increases the problem they now have of voluminous quantities of checks coming in from field. In this case, checks for sum \$1 to, perhaps, \$10 could break this back.

25X1A9a

I've talked to [REDACTED] about the HN and they are going to insist it does not go out as is. On the re-write - the question of tax deduction will have to be faced and worked out - or else the notice should not go to field.

Will continue to follow and let you know if HN recd.

25X1A9a

2/27

CONFIDENTIAL

2/24/67

Frank

Alan Warfield
points out that by
making checks payable to
fictitious names (See Doc 49)
we may be affecting the
Tax status of other
contributors. Please check
with [redacted]

25X1A9a

If so, we may have
to make arrangements for
receipts to be issued to
donors — at least for
those where the contribution
is more than a certain amount.
(such as \$25-). [redacted]

25X1A9a